

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/057,624	10/25/2001	James G. Shelnut	50455	2203
21874 75	590 12/08/2003	EXAMINER		INER
EDWARDS & P.O. BOX 9169	ANGELL, LLP	. TALBOT, BRIAN K		
BOSTON, MA		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			. 1762	

DATE MAILED: 12/08/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

U19		Арр	olication No.	Applicant(s)					
		10/	057,624	SHELNUT, JAME	SHELNUT, JAMES G.				
	Office Action Summary	Exa	miner	Art Unit					
			an K Talbot	1762					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app ars on the cover shet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD I MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN nsions of time may be available under the provision SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this com period for reply specified above is less than thirty (6) period for reply is specified above, the maximum so tre to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). imunication. 30) days, a reply within tatutory period will apply y will, by statute, cause	In no event, however, may the statutory minimum of t y and will expire SIX (6) Mi the application to become	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered time DNTHS from the mailing date of this of ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ely. communication.				
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) fil	ed on <u>24 Septer</u>	<u>nber 2003</u> .						
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL .	2b)⊡ This actio	n is non-final.						
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposit	ion of Claims								
5)□ 6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-34 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 8-26 and 34 is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-7 and 27-33 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Applicati	ion Papers		·						
10)□	The specification is objected to by the drawing(s) filed on is/are Applicant may not request that any objected the specific production is objected to the oath or declaration is objected to the specific production is objected to be specification in the specific production in the specific production is objected to be specification in the specific production in the specific production is objected to by the specific production is objected to be specification in the specific production in the specific production is objected to be specification in the specific production in the specific production is objected to the specific production in the specific production is objected to the specific production in the specific production in the specific production is objected to the specific production in the specific production in the specific production is objected to the specific production in the spe	e: a) accepted ection to the drawing the correction is	ng(s) be held in abey required if the drawir	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	` '				
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
* \$ 13) \[\times \te	Acknowledgment is made of a clair All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority 2. Certified copies of the priority 3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internation of the attached detailed Office action of the attached detailed Office action of the specific reference was included a specific reference was included of the foreign lates of the complete of the foreign lates of the specific reference was included in the first series.	documents have documents have of the priority do onal Bureau (PC on for a list of the for domestic priced in the first serunguage provision for domestic priced for domestic priced for domestic priced in the first serunguage provision for domestic priced in the first serunguage priced in the first s	e been received. e been received in ocuments have been TRule 17.2(a)). e certified copies nority under 35 U.S.Contence of the specifical application has prity under 35 U.S.Contence 35 U.S.Co	Application No en received in this National of received. C. § 119(e) (to a provisional ication or in an Application been received. C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since	al application) Data Sheet.				
Attachmen	t(s)								
2) 🔲 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) I			v Summary (PTO-413) Paper Nor f Informal Patent Application (PTo	• •				

Application/Control Number: 10/057,624 Page 2

Art Unit: 1762

1. The amendment filed 9/24/03 has been considered and entered. Claims 1-34 remain in the application.

- 2. This application contains claims 8-26 and 34 are drawn to an invention nonelected with traverse in paper filed 6/11/03. A complete reply to the final rejection must include cancellation of nonelected claims or other appropriate action (37 CFR 1.144) See MPEP § 821.01.
- 3. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 4. In light of the amendment filed 9/24/03, the 35 USC 112, second paragraph rejections as well as the objection to the Title have been withdrawn. In addition, the rejection over Datta et al. (2002/0064592) has been withdrawn.
- 5. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Art Unit: 1762

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. Claims 1-4,6,7,27-30,32 and 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chen (6,277,263) in combination with Rapoport et al. (5,298,687).

Chen (6,277,263) teaches method for electrolytically depositing copper on a semiconductor. A copper bath is utilized to electroplate copper onto a seed layer or to enhance an ultra-thin copper seed layer which has been deposited on a barrier layer by PVD. When used for seed layer enhancement, the resulting copper seed layer provides an excellent conformal copper coating that allows the microstructures to be filled with copper layer having good uniformity (see abstract). The substrate can have vias or trenches lined with a barrier layer.

Chen (6,277,263) fail to teach the use of a conductive polymer for the seed layer.

Rapoport et al. (5,298,687) teaches a multilayer interconnect system and method of manufacturing. Looking at figs. 1-2, a first metal seed layer (2) is applied to a substrate (1). Next a second seed layer (4) is applied to create a continuous seed layer prior to subsequent depositing. The seed layer is a conductive polymer (col. 3, lines 40-62).

Therefore, it would have been obvious for one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Chen (6,277,263) seed layer with the conductive polymer as evidenced by Rapoport et al. (5,298,687) with the expectation of achieving similar results, i.e. a conformal, continuous conductive coating.

Art Unit: 1762

Claims 5 and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chen (6,277,263) in combination with either Rapoport et al. (5,298,687) further in view of Jonas et al. (6,358,437 B1) and Cloots et al (6,340,496 B1).

Chen (6,277,263) in combination with either Rapoport et al. (5,298,687) fails to teach the conductive polymer being an acetylene, aniline, pyrrole or thiopene.

Features described above are incorporated here.

Jonas et al. (6,358,437 B1) and Cloots et al (6,340,496 B1) both teach utilizing substituted conductive polythiophenes and polypyrroles for forming conductive coatings (abstract).

Therefore, it would have been obvious for one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Chen (6,277,263) in combination with Rapoport et al. (5,298,687) conductive polymer seed layer with Jonas et al. (6,358,437 B1) and Cloots et al (6,340,496 B1) conductive polymers of polythiophenes and polypyrroles with the expectation of achieving similar success.

Response to Amendment

7. Applicant's arguments filed 9/24/03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argued that Chen (6,277,263) fails to teach using conductive polymers and that Rapoport et al. (5,298,687) fails to teach apertures \leq to 1 micron as well as integrated circuit technology.

The Examiner agrees. In response to applicant's piecemeal analysis of the references, the rejection is not overcome by pointing out that one reference does not contain a particular limitation when reliance for that teaching is on another reference. *In Re Lyons* 150 USPQ 741 (CCPA 1966). Moreover, it is well settled that one cannot show non-obviousness by attacking the references individually where, as here, the rejection is based on combinations of the references. *In Re Keller*, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981); *In Re Young*, 159 USPQ 725 (CCPA 1968). In this case, the secondary references are relied upon for teach the conventionality of utilizing conductive polymers for enhancing seed layer deposition. With respect to the size of the aperture, it is the Examiner's position

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian K Talbot whose telephone number is (703) 305-3775. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 6AM-3PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Shrive P Beck can be reached on (703) 308-2333. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3775.

Page 6

Brian K Talbot Primary Examiner Art Unit 1762

BKT December 2, 2003